

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,453	11/25/2003	Grant Hawthorne	14429.3US01	5983
23552 75	90 06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			WILLIAMS, JAMILA O	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			-3722	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Wh				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/723,453	HAWTHORNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jamila O. Williams	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>APPI</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9,13-19 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 10-12 and 20-22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmont(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-1-04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/723,453

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3,6-9,15,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 3,643,374 to Gunther et al. Gunther discloses a shaker comprising a base (14)), motor (34) and agitation assembly driven by the motor (32), a bobble head doll support (58); wherein the agitator is a rotating agitator and engages the underside of the bobble head support (figs 6,7); wherein the support comprises a rounded footprint (fig 3a); wherein the support and base comprise complementary alignment elements (bracket 64 fixed to base 14, see col 3 ln 9-10); wherein the shaking is variable; comprises a speed control (col 2 ln 37-41); wherein the speed control comprises a rheostat (col. 3 ln 17-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gunther et al. Gunther discloses all of the elements of the claims including that the frequency of the shaking motion is adjustable (col. 3 In 17-20), Gunther does not however provide

Art Unit: 3722

the range recited in claim 4. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adjust the frequency (i.e. 20-50 cycles per minute) since it has been held that discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves routine skill in the art. *In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.*Claims 5, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gunther et al in view of 6,802,755 to Walker et al. Gunther discloses all of the elements of the claims but for the dampening element. Walker teaches using a flexible mounting bracket such as a spring to dampen the vibration of the doll during agitation and soft mounts (521) around the agitation assembly that inherently causes some dampening for the assembly. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use one or both of these features as taught by Walker with the shaker of Gunther for the purpose of sound proofing.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gunther et al in view of 5,316,516 to Saitoh. Gunther discloses all of the elements of the claims but for an actuator comprising a sound activator. Saitoh teaches having a toy including an external stimulus sensor that is activated in response to sound (see abstract last sentence). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the sensor of Saitoh with the shaker of Gunther for the purpose of having automatic activation of the shaker in response to sound.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gunther et al in view of 6,551,359 to Lui Gunther discloses all of the elements of the claim including having a doll but the doll of Gunther does not have a bobbling head. Lui teaches having

a doll with a bobbling head. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the bobbling head of Lui with the doll of Gunther for the purpose of providing additional amusement to the user.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10,11,12,20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This is simply art of interest and was not used to reject any claims in this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamila O. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4431. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jw

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700